## 3.

## Expressing Knowledge

## Knowledge engineering

KR is first and foremost about knowledge
meaning and entailment
find individuals and properties, then encode facts sufficient for entailments
Before implementing, need to understand clearly

- what is to be computed?
- why and where inference is necessary?

Example domain: soap-opera world
people, places, companies, marriages, divorces, hanky-panky, deaths, kidnappings, crimes, ...

Task: KB with appropriate entailments

- what vocabulary?
- what facts to represent?


## Vocabulary

Domain-dependent predicates and functions
main question: what are the individuals?
here: people, places, companies, ...
named individuals
john, sleezyTown, faultyInsuranceCorp, fic, johnQsmith, ...

## basic types

Person, Place, Man, Woman, ...

## attributes

Rich, Beautiful, Unscrupulous, ...

## relationships

LivesAt, MarriedTo, DaughterOf, HadAnAffairWith, Blackmails, ...
functions
fatherOf, ceoOf, bestFriendOf, ...

## Basic facts

Usually atomic sentences and negations
type facts
Man(john),
Woman(jane),
Company(faultyInsuranceCorp)
property facts
Rich(john),
$\neg$ HappilyMarried(jim),
WorksFor(jim,fic)
equality facts
john $=\operatorname{ceoOf}(f i c)$,
fic $=$ faultyInsuranceCorp,
bestFriendOf(jim) $=$ john
Like a simple database (can store in a table)

## Complex facts

## Universal abbreviations

$\forall y[\operatorname{Woman}(y) \wedge y \neq \operatorname{jane} \supset \operatorname{Loves}(y$, john $)]$
$\forall y[\operatorname{Rich}(y) \wedge \operatorname{Man}(y) \supset \operatorname{Loves}(y, j a n e)]$
possible to express
$\forall x \forall y[\operatorname{Loves}(x, y) \supset \neg \operatorname{Blackmails}(x, y)]$
Incomplete knowledge
Loves(jane,john) $\vee \operatorname{Loves(jane,jim)~}$ which?
$\exists x[\operatorname{Adult}(x) \wedge$ Blackmails $(x$, john $)]$ who?
cannot write down a more complete version
limit the domain of discourse also useful to have jane $\neq$ john ...

## Terminological facts

General relationships among predicates. For example:
disjoint $\quad \forall x[\operatorname{Man}(x) \supset \neg \operatorname{Woman}(x)]$
subtype $\quad \forall x[\operatorname{Senator}(x) \supset$ Legislator $(x)]$
exhaustive $\forall x[\operatorname{Adult}(x) \supset \operatorname{Man}(x) \vee \operatorname{Woman}(x)]$
symmetry $\forall x \forall y[\operatorname{MarriedTo}(x, y) \supset \operatorname{MarriedTo}(y, x)]$
inverse $\quad \forall x \forall y[\operatorname{ChildOf}(x, y) \supset \operatorname{ParentOf}(y, x)]$
type restriction $\quad \forall x \forall y[\operatorname{MarriedTo}(x, y) \supset$ $\operatorname{Person}(x) \wedge \operatorname{Person}(y) \wedge \operatorname{OppSex}(x, y)]$
sometimes
Usually universally quantified conditionals or biconditionals

## Entailments: 1

Is there a company whose CEO loves Jane?
$\exists x[\operatorname{Company}(x) \wedge \operatorname{Loves}(\operatorname{ceoOf}(x), \mathrm{jane})]$ ??
Suppose $\mathfrak{I}$ ॥ KB.
Then $\mathfrak{I} \mid=\operatorname{Rich}(j o h n), M a n(j o h n)$, and $\mathfrak{J} \mid=\forall y[\operatorname{Rich}(y) \wedge \operatorname{Man}(y) \supset \operatorname{Loves}(y, j a n e)]$
so $\mathfrak{I} \mid=\operatorname{Loves}(j o h n, j a n e)$.
Also $\mathfrak{I} \mid=$ john $=\operatorname{ceoOf}(f i c)$, so $\mathfrak{I} \mid=$ Loves( ceoOf(fic),jane).
Finally $\mathfrak{I} \mid=$ Company(faultyInsuranceCorp), and $\mathfrak{I} \mid=$ fic $=$ faultyInsuranceCorp, so $\mathfrak{I} \mid=$ Company(fic).
Thus, $\mathfrak{I} \mid=$ Company(fic) $\wedge$ Loves( ceoOf(fic),jane),
and so
$\mathfrak{s} \mid=\exists x[\operatorname{Company}(x) \wedge \operatorname{Loves}(\operatorname{ceoOf}(x), \mathrm{jane})]$.

## Can extract identity of company from this proof

## Entailments: 2

If no man is blackmailing John, then is he being blackmailed by somebody he loves?
$\forall x[\operatorname{Man}(x) \supset \neg \operatorname{Blackmails}(x$, john $)] \supset$
$\exists y[\operatorname{Loves}($ john,$y) \wedge \operatorname{Blackmails}(y$,john) $]$ ??
Note: $\quad \mathrm{KB} \mid=(\alpha \supset \beta)$ iff $\mathrm{KB} \cup\{\alpha\} \mid=\beta$
Let: $\mathfrak{I} \mid=\mathrm{KB} \cup\{\forall x[\operatorname{Man}(x) \supset \neg$ Blackmails $(x$, john $)]\}$
Show: $\mathfrak{J} \mid=\exists y[\operatorname{Loves}($ john,$y) \wedge$ Blackmails $(y$, john $)$
Have: $\exists x[\operatorname{Adult}(x) \wedge \operatorname{Blackmails}(x, \mathrm{john})]$ and $\forall x[\operatorname{Adult}(x) \supset \operatorname{Man}(x) \vee \operatorname{Woman}(x)]$ so $\exists x[\operatorname{Woman}(x) \wedge \operatorname{Blackmails}(x$, john $)]$.

Then: $\quad \forall y[\operatorname{Rich}(y) \wedge \operatorname{Man}(y) \supset \operatorname{Loves}(y, j a n e)]$ and $\operatorname{Rich}(j o h n) \wedge \operatorname{Man}(j o h n)$ so Loves(john,jane)!

But: $\forall y[\operatorname{Woman}(y) \wedge y \neq$ jane $\supset \operatorname{Loves}(y, j$ john $)]$
and $\forall x \forall y[\operatorname{Loves}(x, y) \supset \neg \operatorname{Blackmails}(x, y)]$
so $\forall y[\operatorname{Woman}(y) \wedge y \neq$ jane $\supset \neg$ Blackmails( $y$,john)] and Blackmails(jane,john)!!
Finally: Loves(john,jane) ^ Blackmails(jane,john)
so: $\exists y[\operatorname{Loves}(j o h n, y) \wedge \operatorname{Blackmails}(y, j o h n)]$

## What individuals?

## Sometimes useful to reduce n-ary predicates to 1-place predicates and 1-place functions

- involves reifying properties: new individuals
- typical of description logics / frame languages (later)


## Flexibility in terms of arity:

Purchases(john,sears,bike) or
Purchases(john,sears,bike,feb14) or
Purchases(john,sears,bike,feb14,\$100)
Instead: introduce purchase objects
$\operatorname{Purchase}(p) \wedge \operatorname{agent}(p)=$ john $\wedge \operatorname{obj}(p)=\operatorname{bike} \wedge \operatorname{source}(p)=\operatorname{sears} \wedge \ldots$ allows purchase to be described at various levels of detail

Complex relationships: $\operatorname{MarriedTo}(x, y)$ vs. $\operatorname{ReMarriedTo}(x, y)$ vs. ...
Instead define marital status in terms of existence of marriage and divorce events.
$\operatorname{Marriage}(m) \wedge \operatorname{husband}(m)=x \wedge \operatorname{wife}(m)=y \wedge \operatorname{date}(m)=\ldots \wedge . .$.

## Abstract individuals

Also need individuals for numbers, dates, times, addresses, etc.
objects about which we ask wh-questions

## Quantities as individuals

age $($ suzy $)=14$
age-in-years(suzy) $=14$
age-in-months(suzy) $=168$
perhaps better to have an object for "the age of Suzy", whose value in years is 14
$\operatorname{years}(\operatorname{age}($ suzy $))=14$
months $(x)=12 *$ years $(x)$
centimeters $(x)=100 *$ meters $(x)$
Similarly with locations and times
instead of
time $(m)=" J a n 52006$ 4:47:03EST"
can use
$\operatorname{time}(m)=t \wedge \operatorname{year}(t)=2006 \wedge \ldots$

## Other sorts of facts

## Statistical / probabilistic facts

- Half of the companies are located on the East Side.
- Most of the employees are restless.
- Almost none of the employees are completely trustworthy,


## Default / prototypical facts

- Company presidents typically have secretaries intercepting their phone calls.
- Cars have four wheels.
- Companies generally do not allow employees that work together to be married.


## Intentional facts

- John believes that Henry is trying to blackmail him.
- Jane does not want Jim to think that she loves John.

Others ...

